# **ACT Alliance**

**Emergency Response to DRC Conflict** 

# Revision-1 Appeal CEA 241



SECRETARIAT: 150, route de Ferney, P.O. Box 2100, 1211 Geneva 2, Switzerland TEL.: +4122 791 6434 – FAX: +4122 791 6506 – <a href="https://www.actalliance.org">www.actalliance.org</a>

# **Table of contents**

#### **Project Summary Sheet**

#### **BACKGROUND**

Context and needs
Capacity to Respond

#### **RESPONSE STRATEGY**

Response Strategy Impact Outcomes Outputs Exit Strategy

#### **PROJECT MANAGEMENT**

Implementation Approach
Implementation Arrangements
Project Consolidated Budget
Project Monitoring, Evaluation, and Learning
Safety and Security Plans

#### **PROJECT ACCOUNTABILITY**

Code of Conduct
Safeguarding
Conflict Sensitivity / Do No Harm
Complaint Mechanism and Feedback
Communication and Visibility

#### **ANNEXES**

Annex 1 Summary Table

Annex 2 Security Risk Assessment



Project S	ummary Sheet										
Project Title	Emergency Response to DR	RC Conflict									
Project ID	CEA 241- Revision 1										
Location	Central and East Africa (DR		nia)								
Project		18 March 2024									
Period		18 March 2026									
Requestin	No. of months	24									
g Forum			Forums officially endorse the								
Requestin	DRC										
g			au Développement-Lead.								
members	-	2. EELCO- The Evangelical Lutheran Church in Congo									
	4. Christian Aid	ECC- Église du Christ au Congo (ECC)									
	Uganda										
	1. Lutheran World Fe	ederation-Lead									
	2. FCA-FinChurchAid	i									
	3. HEKS-EPER										
	4. COU-Church of Ug Tanzania	ganda									
	Tanzama										
	TCRS- Tanganyika Christian	n Refugee Services									
Contact	Name	Irene Anena (Uga	anda Forum Coordinator)								
	Email	iran@dca.dk									
	Other means of	+256 772 973367	7								
	contact										
Local	(ECC) and 3. The Evangelication		eloppement (BOAD), 2. Église du Christ au Congo								
partners	(LCC) and 3. The Evangence	ai Lutilei aii Cilui Ci	Till Collgo (EEECo).								
	<b>Uganda</b> - 1. Church of Ugar Possibility - DoP) and local		/EPER will work with a local partner (Department of isations (RLOs).								
	Tanzania- Tanganyika Chris	stian Refugee Serv	ices								
Thematic Area(s)			Shelter and household items								
	☐ Camp Managemen	t 🗵	Food and Nutrition								
	☐ Disaster Risk Mana	gement 🗵	MHPSS and CBPS								
	⊠ WASH	$\boxtimes$	Gender								
	⊠ Livelihood	Livelihood \( \subseteq \text{Education} \)									
	☐ Health	Health \( \square\) Advocacy									
	Other:										



## Project 1.1 People affected by the conflict and host communities have access to food, water, Outcomes and hygiene services. 2.1 People affected by conflict have access to essential household items. 3.1 The most vulnerable conflict affected people have increased awareness, improved access to protection and quality psychosocial and health support services. 4.1 People affected by conflict have improved food security and consumption including host families. 5.1. People affected by conflict have enhanced learning environments and inclusive education service. 6.1 Women and girls including women and girl survivors of gender-based violence have increased household income and livelihood opportunities. 7.1 Conflicts between host community and refugees are reduced and restoration of hope and dignity is enabled through sports and recreational activities among conflict affected communities. 8.1. People affected by conflict; internally displaced people have access to information they need to hold implementing partners to account, and their feedback and complaints are responded to in a timely and dignified manner. Feedback is used to learn. Project 1.To improve access to food and safe water for women, girls, men, and boys affected Objectives by the conflict and improved hygiene and sanitation. 2.To provide emergency life-saving assistance to women, girls, men, and boys, affected by the conflict through provision of kitchen, shelter and dignity kits. 3.To prevent risk of Gender Based Violence (GBV) and provide quality response to GBV. 4.To increase access to safe and inclusive primary and secondary education among displaced persons. 5. To enhance self-reliance and improve the well-being of displaced populations through livelihood support interventions. 6. To promote social cohesion, mutual understanding and peaceful co-existence between host communities, refugees and IDPs. 7. To promote information access, appropriate and timely response on beneficiaries' feedback and complains. **Target IDP** Returnees included Recipients **Profile** $\boxtimes$ Refugees $\boxtimes$ **IDPs** $\boxtimes$ host $\boxtimes$ Returnees population Non-displaced affected population No. of households (based on average HH size): DRC (5.3); Uganda (4.5); Tanzania (4.6)



	Sex and Age												
				0-5	0-6	13-17	18-49	50-59	60-69	70-79	80+	TOTAL	
		BOAD	М	696	1240	906	1700	480	224	146	0	5392	
			F	904	1480	1024	1900	640	440	220	0	6608	
		ECC	M	854	1458	1006	2997	679	445	295	0	7734	
			F	1080	1587	1243	3105	843	668	540	0	9066	
	رر	EELCO	М	284	338	904	972	534	135	45	72	3284	
	DRC		F	816	912	1437	1008	738	414	264	99	5688	
		LWF	М	500	4200	7500	8900	1020	500	160	120	22900	
			F	800	5200	8860	15500	3050	1100	560	320	35390	
		HEKS	М	20	21	41	1870	1730	850	200	240	4972	
			F	20	22	41	1870	1730	850	200	240	4973	
		CoU	М	60	120	120	480	240	120	60	0	1200	
	la		F	90	180	180	720	360	180	90	0	1800	
	Uganda	FCA	М	0	550	350	100	100	100	0	0	1200	
	Ug		F	0	550	350	100	100	100	0	0	1200	
		TCRS	М	830	1641	1046	2843	149	33	27	15	6584	
	<b>Z1</b>		F	1794	1807	1272	2910	172	17	32	11	8015	
	Total-	126,006											
Project Budget	Requ	ested an	nount ·	- USD	4,144,12	23							
(USD)	New	project k	oudget	- 5,40	8,372 (O	riginal b	udget is	USD 5,	964,466	5)			

#### CEA 241 Revision 1

The 1<sup>st</sup> Revision of this appeal reflects the current evolving needs in context in DRC, Uganda and Tanzania collated after need assessments.

The revision 1 budget and results framework are updated slightly due to context changes for example in DRC, since IDPs returned to their homesteads, the intervention changed from distributing Non-food items to distributing multi-purpose cash distribution and cash for seeds.

There is no change in the reporting schedule.

#### **Reporting Schedule**

Type of Report	Due date
Situation report	18 June 2024
	Quarterly
Interim Report (narrative and financial)	31 Dec 2024
	31 Oct 2025
Final narrative and financial report (60 days after the ending date)	18 May 2026
Audit report.	18 June 2026
(90 days after the ending date)	



#### Please kindly send your contributions to either of the following ACT bank accounts:

#### **US** dollar

Account Number - 240-432629.60A IBAN No: CH46 0024 0240 4326 2960A

Account Name: ACT Alliance
UBS AG
8, rue du Rhône
P.O. Box 2600
1211 Geneva 4, SWITZERLAND
Swift address: UBSWCHZH80A

Please note that as part of the revised ACT Humanitarian Mechanism, pledges/contributions are **encouraged** to be made through the consolidated budget of the country forum, and allocations will be made based on agreed criteria of the forum. For any earmarking, budget targets per member can be found in the "Summary Table" Annex, and detailed budgets per member are available upon request from the ACT Secretariat. Updates on funding levels are available through this link 00 Appeals reports, which provides a monthly update for an overview of existing pledges/contributions and associated earmarking for the appeal.

Please send an email to Humanitarian Team (<a href="https://humanitarianfinance@actalliance.org">humanitarianfinance@actalliance.org</a>) of pledges and contributions, including funds sent directly to the requesting members. Please also inform us of any pledges or contributions if there are any contract agreements and requirements especially from back donors. In line with Grand Bargain commitments to reduce the earmarking of humanitarian funding, if you have an earmarking request in relation to your pledge, a member of the Secretariat's Humanitarian team will contact you to discuss this request. We thank you in advance for your kind cooperation.

#### For further information, please contact:

#### **Africa**

ACT Regional Representative, Elizabeth Kisiigha Zimba (<u>Elizabeth.Zimba@actalliance.org</u>) Humanitarian Programme Officer, Caroline Njogu (<u>Caroline.Njogu@actalliance.org</u>)

Visit the ACT website: https://actalliance.org/

#### Niall O'Rourke

Head of Humanitarian Affairs ACT Alliance Secretariat, Geneva



#### BACKGROUND

#### **Context and Needs**

The ongoing fighting in the Democratic Republic of Congo has left thousands dead and hundreds of thousands displaced, deepening the country's already catastrophic humanitarian crisis over the past decade (UN Peacekeeping).

Armed conflicts have resumed more intensely, over the past three years, between the regular army and its allies; the Wazalendo and M23 groups.

The most recent fighting that occurred in January and February 2025, was extremely violent, and resulted in the capture of the towns of Goma (North Kivu) and Bukavu (South Kivu) respectively. This recent fighting resulted in thousands of deaths and injuries, especially during the <u>capture of the town of Goma</u> according to Relief Web sources.

Reports from the <u>United Nations</u> and the Red Cross movement put the death toll to at least 3,000 people, with thousands injured in hospitals and at least 500,000 people newly displaced.

Serious cases of protection incidents have been reported, such as assassinations, murders, and an increase in cases of rape and gender-based violence (Global Centre).

The conflict situation has exacerbated the difficult living conditions already faced by the populations of North and South Kivu Provinces to such an extent that the number of people in crisis phase (Phase 3+) has increased and the number of people in phase 4 (famine phase) is estimated to be more than 100,000 (IPC report).

In March 2025, members of ACT DRC Forum conducted need assessments in Rutshuru Territory while in South Kivu Province the assessment was conducted in Kalehe Territory. The assessment identified urgent needs in areas like non-food items, shelter, food, water and sanitation infrastructure, seeds and farming tools, healthcare and protection and revealed high levels of vulnerability among displaced persons, returnees, and host families. There was need for the protection of women and girls for psychosocial care, health, legal and judicial support, and socioeconomic reintegration.

The assessment also found that thousands of people who had fled the fighting initially were forced by the authorities to return to their areas of origin and an approximate 60% have returned. Even though some displaced people have returned to their areas of origin, some are still moving and staying with host families, while others remained in displacement camps (this is specific to the territory of Kalehe).

#### Uganda

Since January 2025, Uganda has received 36,458 new arrivals with 32% (575,961) refugees coming from the Democratic Republic of Congo. Uganda continues to record a daily average of over 550 individuals from the beginning of March 2025. The number of new arrivals has exceeded the contingency planning thresholds, forcing <u>UNHCR</u> to declare a Level 2 emergency for Uganda on 28<sup>th</sup> March 2025.

The situation in refugee transit and reception facilities in Uganda remains challenging, with significant pressure on resources due to overcrowding. Some transit centres like Nakabande are operating at 600% occupancy capacity. This influx is expected to continue as the political and security situation in Eastern DRC remains volatile and shows no sign of improvement.



The continued spontaneous arrivals in Uganda have had a significant strain on the emergency response infrastructure in Uganda leading to acute lack of humanitarian services. The situation in Nakivale Refugee Camp which receives the highest number of new arrivals from DRC lacks adequate facilities to host the new arrivals.

In March 2025, ACT Uganda members conducted a needs assessment in Nakivale Refugee camp and gathered community feedback, especially from newly arrived refugees settled in Juru and Rubondo zones of Nakivale Settlement. Using ranking, the community prioritized their needs as access to health care, food, shelter, WASH, NFIs, livelihoods, protection assistance and education as the most urgent unmet needs that require immediate attention.

The recent suspension of U.S Foreign aid, has had severe repercussions on humanitarian efforts in the region. This abrupt halt in funding has disrupted essential services and programs aimed at supporting vulnerable populations, worsening already dire conditions and deepened the suffering of refugees.

#### **Tanzania**

Since the beginning of 2025, over 2,000 asylum seekers from the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) have arrived in Tanzania (<u>UNHCR</u>) via Bukavu and Uvira. The asylum seekers are from Masisi and Rutshuru territories in North Kivu DRC, which were occupied by Congolese Revolution Army (M23), Armed Forces of the Democratic Republic of Congo (FARDC) as well as Mai Mai and other non-state armed groups who control towns in the same area.

The security and humanitarian conditions in South and North Kivu have deteriorated rapidly causing civilian casualties, mass displacements and violations of International Humanitarian law.

Civilians were caught between groups of combatants due to the refusal of M23 to relocate from areas previous agreed according to some peace agreements.

The Tanganyika Christian Refugee Services (TCRS) conducted an assessment in March 2025, in Nyarugusu refugee camp and found the most pressing needs to be food, Shelter, healthcare, WASH and Psychosocial support, among others. The report may be found under Annex 3.

The TCRS also visited Kigoma regions to assess the situation and other sites used as reception centres, and visited the Ministry of Home Affairs, refugee Services department (MoHA) and UNHCR offices to receive updates on the asylum seekers/refugee situation in Tanzania.

The team found that most refugees are hosted at Nyarugusu Refugee camp which was already equipped with tents for shelter, WASH facilities including latrines and this camp was managed by UNHCR implementing partners who have signed project partnership agreements with UNHCR.

#### Capacity to respond

#### DRC

**Christian Aid** has been present in the Democratic Republic of Congo since 1970 and is currently working in six provinces in the Country. Christian Aid implements humanitarian, governance, and peace building projects through Church and Civil Society Organisations.

Christian Aid is a member of ACT DRC forum and supports ACT DRC national partners (ECC, BOAD and EELCO) to manage grants by providing technical and financial support and supporting them to



ensure that they maintain quality standards in implementation and thus ensuring that local partners are at the frontline of providing quality humanitarian interventions as per the Grand Bargain agenda.

Christian Aid has provided several capacity building sessions with the three national members on finance management, project management, logistic and security policies.

Currently Christian Aid and BOAD are implementing a multipurpose cash Emergency program assisting 450 internally displaced households in response to the conflict in North Kivu in Nyiragongo territory.

Christian Aid is also working with a national member ECC MERU on a multi-annual programme funded by Irish Aid with four focus areas namely 1). Humanitarian Assistance (Foods security, multipurpose cash assistance, WASH),2). Prevention, protection of Gender based violence (GBV) and peacebuilding activities (Conflicts resolution);3). Resilience activities and 4). Climate change adaptation in Kalehe territory.

**Bureau Oecumenique d'Appui au Developpement (BOAD)** is a member of the Forum of National Humanitarian and Development Organizations in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (FONAHD) and a member of the network of national development organizations Conseil National des ONGD de Développement (CNONGD) in North Kivu.

BOAD has experience in implementing education programs and has on several occasions received government social funds to construct schools in various places across North Kivu Province. BOAD works with several international organizations such as Christian Aid, CARE International, Norwegian Church Aid (NCA) in implementing emergency projects such as the distribution of WFP food. BOAD has already executed several humanitarian projects with funding from various ACT Alliance appeals and Rapid Response Funds in the past in response to various emergencies in North Kivu Province. BOAD has also previously received funds from CAFOD and ICCO to implement various projects in North Kivu Province on governance, democracy, food security, drought, Ebola, COVID-19, and volcano response. BOAD and is currently supporting conflict affected persons in North Kivu with (USD 86,615) from Christian Aid.

BOAD has also implemented gender projects where BOAD supported the economic capacities of women through cash assistance, distribution of Non-Food Items (NFI) Kits, psycho-social support, and protection.

The Church of Christ in Congo (l'Église du Christ au Congo or ECC) is faith-based organization that has been working in DRC since 1972 in the areas of Emergency response, Resilience building and development.

ECC has experience in working in the sectors of Food Security, Non-Food Items, WASH, Protection and Education.

Currently ECC and Christian Aid is supporting communities affected by Crisis through a multiannual program funded by Irish Aid with focus on 1) Humanitarian Assistance (Foods security, multipurpose cash assistance, wash),2) Prevention, protection Gender based violences and peacebuilding activities (Conflicts resolutions);3) Resilience activities and 4) Climate change adaptation in Kalehe territory.

With funding from WFP, ECC is implementing the food security project in Mwenga and Kalehe with focus on seeds and tools distributions and promoting value chains activities.



ECC has also been involved in implementing peacebuilding projects in Kalehe and Uvira.

In the education sector, ECC, with funding from Mennonite Central committee, is supporting education fees and kits for kids while their parents get support for income generating activities through livestock and small trade.

**Eglise Évangélique Luthérienne au Congo (EELCO)** is a faith-based organisation and had been supporting communities by crisis since 1984 in DRC. EELCO works in both North and South Kivu in the sectors of Food security, Shelter/Non-food Items, Education, Health/Nutrition and Protection

EELCO South Kivu had implemented a multisectoral assistance project with ACT Alliance funds to support communities affected by the recent landslides in Kalehe through supporting them with Shelter, Cash assistance and psychosocial support to unaccompanied affected children.

In South Kivu Diocese, EELCO has also built schools and health centres with funds from the Center for Global Ministries and Ecumenical Relations (ZMO), Mission Einewelt and funds from the government of DRC.

EELCO in partnership with UNICEF has supported young mothers with vocational skills and in another project assisted food to prisoners. During the volcanic eruption experienced in DRC in 2021, EELCO supported 150 affected households with funding from ZMO. In 2023 EELCO through ACT Alliance funds assisted 1,330 beneficiaries with cash and shelter and supported 150 unaccompanied children with psychosocial support.

EELCO North Kivu with funds from ACT Alliance has implemented three ACT appeal projects in the Kasai Crisis, COVID-19 pandemic, and the Volcanic eruption in various sectors.

#### Uganda

As stipulated in the ACT Uganda Forum EPRP, the refugee project by ACT Uganda Forum members responds to the needs of refugees and addresses related conflict among refugees and host communities. The multi-sector response the is currently ongoing addresses the needs of refugees in the sectors of WASH, protection, education, MHPSS and emergency livelihoods. The ACT Uganda Forum members have a wide range of competences and sector specific expertise to contribute to the current refugee influx.

Lutheran World Federation (LWF) is the leading multi-sector partner in Adjumani, Palorinya and Lamwo settlements and has continuously played a key role since their establishment in January 2014, December 2016, and April 2017, respectively. With support from UNFPA and IOCC, LWF has established a field office in Nakivale refugee settlement and Kisoro holding centers. LWF also has a well-established presence in other settlements (Rwamwanja and Kyangwali) and implements a multi sector refugee response with funding from UNHCR, Bureau Of Population, Refugees and Migration (PRM), European Civil Protection and Humanitarian Aid Operations (ECHO), and other related agencies such as Bread for the World, Church of Sweden, and International Orthodox Christian Charities (IOCC). The ECHO funded Cash Consortium is providing multipurpose cash for vulnerable individuals both in Rwamwanja and Kyangwali refugee settlements while UNHCR support critical water supply interventions in Rwamwanja refugee settlements.

**Finn Church Aid (FCA)** manages a wide spectrum of education in the settlement from Early Childhood Development to university level. FCA is also UNHCRs (United Nation Higher Commission for Refugees) lead Implementing Partner (IP) for education in Rwamwanja and has presence in Kisoro. FCA is involved in the construction of schools, teacher houses and latrines facilities in schools. FCA also supports teacher salaries, provides teacher training, and provides teaching and learning



materials to schools. FCA is also involved in cash for education and is implementing an Accelerated Education Programme (AEP). FCA has complementary funding from Ministry of Foreign Affairs Finland, ECHO, UNHCR and Bureau of Population, Refugees and Migration. FCA also has a projected funding from UNICEF in Nakivale. As the co-lead of the Education in Emergency (EiE) Sector Working Group, FCA has a unique and strong position in the EIE field, with a close relationship with key stakeholders and opportunity to advocate for education related issues and further resource mobilization.

Church of Uganda's development arm was established in 1974 as an emergency and rehabilitation department providing relief in emergency situations and fostering peaceful community initiatives. The Church of Uganda has a sub office in Bidi and Invempi Refugee settlement camps. The Church of Uganda (CoU) has responded to various refugee crisis situations in Uganda through ACT appeals for example the South Sudan Refugee crisis where CoU reached 90,000 refugees in Rhino Camp by providing food, basic household items, psychosocial support, mediation, and peace resolution. It also been involved in ACT appeal UGA 161 that supported 21,320 Congolese refugees in a response in Nakibaale Refugee settlement in Kisoro and Isingiro districts. The Church of Uganda has been implementing DRR projects in host communities close to refugee camps in Northern Uganda. in Northern Uganda where CoU is currently implementing WASH and Education programs.

HEKS/EPER has three running projects in Nakivale with focus on improving access to basic needs, emergency livelihoods, market linkages, and community engagement towards peaceful coexistence. HEKS/EPER also supports sexual reproductive health rights, mental health, and psychosocial support. HEKS/EPER has improved access to basic needs is improved through multipurpose cash transfers and Cash for Work to vulnerable individual (both new and old caseloads) refugee households. HEKS/EPER follows a human rights-based approach in its operations aimed at creating more equitable, peaceful, and resilient societies to facilitate systemic change in governance and to overcome social, political, and economic hardships both in crises and development contexts. In its triple nexus approach, HEKS/EPER empowers vulnerable people, communities, and civil societies to promote the responsibility of right holders and accountability of duty-bearers.

HEKS/EPER has undertaken a multi-sector project (WASH, Livelihoods, and conflict Transformation) in West Nile among the South Sudanese refugees (in Adjumani, Palorinya and Bidibidi refugee settlements) and Rwamwanja among the DRC, Rwandan and Burundian refugees, and host communities.

#### Tanzania

Tanganyika Christian Refugee Service (TCRS) refugee response in Tanzania has been in existence in refugee response and other emergencies in Tanzania since 1964; responding to refugee influx, internal displacement, drought, floods, among other emergencies. Currently, TCRS has competent staff in Kibondo Field Office that supports their refugees support program composed of a Project Leader, WASH Engineers, community workers, Human resource personnel, MEAL, and a Finance Officer. TCRS also gets support from the head quarter office in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania.

TCRS has experience in various sectors including Camp and Environment management, Relief food and non-food distribution, and WASH. TCRS has human resources and infrastructure to support this response and has built strong relationships with communities it has served, Government and other stakeholders including UNHCR.

TCRS has just completed a Rapid Response Fund with ACT Alliance funds (USD 143,442) supporting the construction of 180 family latrines, distributing 3,672 dignity kits, 4,533 plastic buckets and 4,522 jerry cans and provided Psychosocial support.



# RESPONSE STRATEGY (changes reflected in the updated Results Framework)

#### The **Results Framework** is annexed to this appeal proposal

Given the magnitude of the needs and the scarcity of resources to respond, members have explored the most relevant and strategic approach in relation to the context to support those affected by the conflict. The proposed interventions aim to provide life- saving and livelihood assistance over a 24-month period.

#### WASH

People affected by the conflict crisis in DRC, Uganda and Tanzania are experiencing inadequate water supply, poor hygiene and sanitation conditions and lack of solid waste management systems in place.

To respond to WASH needs in DRC, BOAD will support promote hygiene awareness and support the most affected IDPs with dignity kits to enable them to prevent water borne diseases and other epidemics.

In DRC, ECC will lead a community awareness campaign on cholera, provide hygiene kit, and construct a borehole. BOAD will conduct hygiene promotion to prevent disease outbreaks and distribute hygiene kits.

LWF in Uganda will construct boreholes, provide hygiene and sanitation kits, motorize one production well and construct distribution points as well as construct water pipeline extension. In addition, LWF will construct latrines, install garbage collection bins, train water user committees and train teachers on how to promote menstrual hygiene management.

In Tanzania, TCRS will construct family and institutional latrines, provide water storage, submissible pumps, water pipes and water taps. This will be complemented by hygiene workers to undertake hygiene promotion activities and distribute soap for hand washing. TCRS will also construct six latrines in 3 primary schools and provide dignity kits for menstrual hygiene.

#### **Lifesaving Assistance - NFIs**

Person affected by this crisis are living in undignified conditions without basic household items like sleeping mats, blankets, kitchen sets, plastic sheets, lighting, cooking fuel, clothing, and hygiene products.

BOAD, ECC, EELCO in DRC and LWF in Uganda will provide shelter and household kits to vulnerable groups. The kits contents are based on cluster recommendations on the contents of a shelter, kitchen, household kit and contain various items including tarpaulin, blankets, mattresses, empty cans, kitchen utensils, clothing for women, and mosquito nets to improve their living conditions.

#### **GBV Programming**

To reduce Gender Based Violence (GBV) in DRC, EELCO will establish dialogue groups and use theatre to create awareness on the prevention of GBV. EELCO will also organize workshops with local and religious leaders on GBV prevention and organize theatrical games that can create positive sexual violence norms.

BOAD will refer 350 sexual and gender-based violence cases and support them with a dignity kits and livelihood support.

Church of Uganda will increase access to quality service for GBV survivors by supporting them to access GBV services across the different referral points (Police, Medical, PSS and Legal) and provide them with dignity kits. Church of Uganda will also follow up to conclusion the GBV cases until they are closed and relay this information with the GBV national data base. Church of Uganda will also increase awareness of GBV among refugee and host communities through trainings



conducted in GBV prevention and response including producing and distributing related IEC materials and community awareness sessions conducted on GBV.

LWF will provide need-based support to GBV survivors and provide GBV survivors and women at risk with vocation training and start up kits. LWF will also distribute mama kits to pregnant women and support women in reproductive age with menstrual hygiene kits. LWF will also create awareness on various health issues affecting women and training and model men on GBV prevention and response. LWF will train guardians of unaccompanied children on positive parenting practices.

#### Persons with special needs (PSNs)

Many DRC IDPS, asylum seekers and refugees face risks such as violence, exploitation and abuse, discrimination, particularly people with special needs (PSNs), women and children.

Affected persons with extreme vulnerabilities are unable to construct their shelters and end up living in undignified environments. Children and youth with disabilities may fail to construct their shelters or latrines or fail to be supported by the project due to their physical impairments. There is an urgent need to identify such individuals and support them to construct shelters. In Uganda, LWF will also provide various non-food items to PSNs and assist them to access assistive devices and/or refer them for medical treatment and or surgeries to enable them to integrate and engage in provided opportunities education, life skilling, and other livelihood opportunities.

#### Mental Health Psychosocial Support,

The trauma of conflict and displacement takes a toll on mental health. Many refugees experience anxiety, depression, and post-traumatic stress disorders (PTSD) during their experiences in the DRC and as refugees /asylum seekers due to the challenges they face in their new home.

In DRC, EELCO will organize counseling and psychosocial support listening activity sessions. Organize therapeutic education sessions for those displaying depression due to the conflict and provide technical support in the form of vocational trainings by also supporting their start-up capital.

In Uganda, **LWF** will provide need-based psychosocial support and specialized medical assistance to help in their recovery. LWF will train and support para social counsellors in community-based psychosocial support (CBPS) and psychological first aid (PFA). LWF will also support 3 Music Dance Drama (MDD) groups for awareness creation on MHPSS. The purpose is to give information and create awareness and identity cases of persons with mental distress and refer them for support. Also, MDD groups support in fostering a sense of belonging and social support, builds confidence and self-esteem, empowers individuals and music is a healing therapy. LWF will also organize MHPSS retreats, cultural and food galas to promote psychosocial wellbeing of Persons of Concern (POCS). LWF will conduct quarterly Psych mobile clinics targeting 60 persons per village per session and support caretakers of persons with mental disorders with Income Generating Activities.

These are persons who have mental distress, taken healing sessions and can support others for mental recovery through Music Drama and Dance. In addition, TCRS will form and facilitate psychosocial champions who will be referring refuges in need of psychosocial support.

#### **Improved Food Security and consumption**

EELCO and ECC will provide maize, beans, vegetable oil and salt to the most vulnerable households for two months complemented by nutritious porridge for pregnant, lactating and children under five in DRC in accordance with food and nutrition cluster recommendations. In addition, EELCO and ECC will distribute vegetable seeds to improve food security, and these seeds will also be distributed to hosting communities.

#### **Cash Assistance**



The transfer value, frequency and period has been informed by the Minimum Expenditure Basket (MEB) and following standards promoted by the Cash Working Group in each country.

In DRC, BOAD will distribute USD 100 cash for food to 1,000 households according to cash cluster standards.

In Uganda HEKS will support 2,325 vulnerable persons with approximately USD 12 per month for three months for livelihood support, this is calculated based on the family size. HEKS will also provide cash vouchers of USD 28 to enable 260 vulnerable households to access one off support for energy saving stoves and/or briquettes. To re-forestate 120 hectares around the refugee camps, as part of HEKS environment protection and climate change mitigation activities, HEKS will conduct cash for work for 5,000 individuals receiving USD 26 per person as cash for work.

HEKS will also support livelihood activities be through school environment clubs to encourage them to appreciate effects of climate change and mitigation measures using cash for work for tree seeds and nursery establishment.

In Uganda, LWF will provide cash for work to youth to build shelters and latrines for people with special needs.

In Tanzania, the government does not allow cash programs among the refugees for fear that it may attract their continued stay as it is assumed to be one of the pull factors for them not to move. Currently the intention of the government is to focus on promoted repatriation to refugees as one of the pillars when talking of durable solutions.

#### Safe and inclusive primary and secondary education

Many refugee children lack access to quality education due to overcrowded classrooms, insufficient teaching materials and a shortage of qualified teachers. Language differences also deter their learning as many refugee children find it difficult to speak the language of instruction which hinder their ability to learn effectively.

In response to new refugee arrivals FCA in Uganda will work in Nakivale and Kyangwali and construct a classroom block in Nakivale, furnish each class with sufficient three-seater desks, and provide school kits and scholastic material to learners. Each classroom with be furnished with three-seater desks.

To encourage enrolment of girls, FCA will construct a dormitory for girls and furnish it with beds for 1,000 learners.

EELCO in DRC will raise awareness among parents to encourage children to return to school by providing scholastic kits of different grades comprising of notebooks, pens, pencils, mathematical sets among other items.

#### Livelihood Support.

Displacement disrupts livelihoods leaving IDPs and refugees without a source of income and means to support themselves and their families. Many refugees face challenges in accessing formal employment opportunities leading to financial insecurity which leads to dependency on humanitarian aid.

HEKS will increase household income for women and youth refugees and host communities by strengthening farming groups, impacting them with livelihood skills, and providing start up kits support the farming groups to create and strengthen market linkages. HEKS will also enhance agro ecological production that enhance climate change adaptation.

# <u>Promotion of social cohesion, mutual understanding and peaceful Co-existence between refugees and host communities.</u>

In Tanzania, before refugees' settlement in the area, host communities accessed various health, WASH services but with the arrival of refugees these facilities have become overcrowded causing conflict between the refugee and host communities. As a result, refugees in Tanzania have in adequate access to WASH and health facilities.



To promote social cohesion between refugees and host communities, TCRS will organize joint activities between refugees and host communities including common worship, recreational activities, sports/games, cultural dancing and playing kits. To restore hope and dignity among refugees in Tanzania, TCRS will support refugees to have the right knowledge on the institutions of family and marriage as key social institutions through conducting training sessions, mentorship, counselling sessions, focus group discussions and awareness campaigns on marriage practices, gender equality, reproductive health, conflict resolution and peer education.

In Uganda, to promote social cohesion between refugees and host communities, church of Uganda will form and train peace building and conflict resolution committees and develop conflict resolution manuals.

#### **Capacity Building-Accountability-Safeguarding:**

To ensure that communities affected by conflict are receiving assistance in an environment which is secure, and the right is respected, and both partners and Christian Aid remains accountable to the affected communities, Christian Aid DRC will be supporting partners on following aspects:

- a). Due diligence aspect by conducting a passporting session with the three local members in he appeals. This exercise will allow to identifier the strength and the weakness related to both programmatic, financial, logistic and security system and policies of each of the partners and finally to set up an improvement plan.
- b). Capacity building of partners in line with the weakness raised during the due diligence processes: As we said above by conducting the due diligence (passporting sessions) will lead to identifier the institutional strength and weakness from partners but also to set un improvement plans. So Christian Aid plan to support partners in the Improvement of the weakness through training, coaching and mentoring approaches. A lumpsum amount will be provided in the budget for this purpose.

According to our last experiences most of the local partners need training on financial policies, logistic procedure, Gender policies. safeguarding policies and security policies.

Christian Aid will make available different expertise such as: Finance management, Logistic management, security management, safeguarding program.

This process will allow the three local partners to act with international NGO in the future.

c). <u>Capacity Building on Accountability</u>: Three partners will be trained by Christian Aid DRC on Accountability approaches.

Christian Aid and her partners had committed to remain accountable to communities affected by conflicts. So, three partners will train on the approach of Conducting a Community Accountable Assessment (CAA). This approach allows communities affected by conflict to set up complaints and feedback mechanism which are adapted to the local context.

While the three partners will be trained on the Communities accountability assessment, Christian Aid will support the three partners on how to collect and manage the complaints and feedback received through a digital platform (Compass). Christian Aid will provide 15 tablets to three partners which will allows them to collect and manage the complaints and feedback. Remote monitoring will be provided to support the complaints and feedback management.

- d). <u>Capacity Building on Safeguarding</u>: Christian Aid and her partners applied for a safeguarding programming to ensure that assistance is provided to the affected communities in safe and dignified manner. So, training on safeguarding policies will be provided to partners staffs and communities members will be aware about the expected behaviours for both Christian Aid and partners staffs.
- e). <u>Conducting the Post distribution Monitoring</u>: Christian Aid will support partners by conducting a post distribution monitoring for learning and improvement purposes.

Christian Aid staffs (Senior Program officer and MEAL Officer) will provide technical assistance to three partners to ensure that a strong Monitoring and evaluation system and tools are in place for data collection progress monitoring and learning.



#### Exit strategy.

Requesting members to develop a holistic community exit strategy at the end of this project by conducting exit meetings with project leaders. Since members have been having and will continue to have a long-term presence in this response, they will work closely with local faith-based organizations, churches, and local communities to enhance sustainability after the project.

#### DRC

This Appeal focusses on both emergency relief and long-term livelihood support for IDPs in DRC. In DRC, a smooth rehabilitation and credible exit strategy largely depends on the establishment of lasting peace in the areas of implementation as peace will help to bridge emergency relief activities to development.

Related to foods security; seeds, tools and trainings on farming technics will be provided to beneficiaries to sustain foods security among the households affected by conflict able to access land. Christian Aid DRC will focus most of her activities in partners capacities building and monitoring to ensure that Christian Aid provides them with technical expertise which will strengthen local partners to deal with internationals donors and manage project as required by donors.

#### Uganda

LWF supports the local economy by creating access to services and market opportunities; engaging young people in skills development and labor-intensive public works; and contributing to economic and infrastructure development of refugee hosting districts.

To strengthen local capacities for sustainability of project outcomes, HEKS/EPER strengthens community structures and collaborates closely with Isingiro District local government and DoP (a local partner).

HEKS/EPER since its official inception in Uganda has had presence in Southwestern Uganda and West Nile region settlements through its local partners in the three pillars of the triple nexus (humanitarian development and peace). In 2020, HEKS/EPER increased its capacity and started a co-implementation with one of its national partners in West Nile region namely Community Empowerment for Rural Development - CEFORD, on a livelihoods and conflict transformation project with HEKS/EPER own funds. It established a mobile and responsive team of 09 staff with a sub-office in Yumbe, which is well established and equipped to respond to any emergency situations and needs across the refugee settlements.

#### <u>Tanzania</u>

This appeal will focus on both emergency relief and the long-term livelihoods of displaced as well as host communities. By working alongside local communities and building their capacities in DRC, through community-based organizations who will be able to continue organizing and supporting vulnerable people in need. In addition, state agencies such as the Provincial Agency for Agriculture, Livestock and Fisheries (IPAPEL) and the National Water and Sanitation service (SNHR) among others. TCRS human staff resources and infrastructure to support this response and has built strong relationships with communities it has served, Government and other stakeholders.

#### PROJECT MANAGEMENT

#### Implementation Approach



#### **DRC**

Christian Aid will act as the fund manager, in this response and based on CA's due diligence requirements and capacity assessment, Christian Aid will manage and disburse the funds for BOAD, ECC and EELCO and will have oversight in implementing project activities. Christian Aid will also be responsible to carry out capacity strengthening activities for the three local members, starting with conducting two project launching workshops (one in North Kivu and another in South Kivu). Christian Aid will conduct due diligence passporting sessions for the three partners and follow this with capacity building in line with the findings from the due diligence process. Christian Aid will train the three national members to improve their community accountability assessment and safeguarding mechanisms.

Partners will be supported to access and set up the digital platform (Compass) which will allow partners to manage and deal with the feedback raised within the communities. Electronic devices (Tablets) will be provided to partners and safeguarding focal points will be nominated to ensure that community members have access to a feedback and complaints mechanism, and agree on an appropriate mechanism, adapted to their culture and secure.

Ongoing monitoring will be done to ensure that all feedback received are managed and responded to and lessons learnt noted for the future improvement.

To ensure a safeguarding programming, partners staff will be trained by Christian Aid Safeguarding officer on safeguarding policies and ensure that partner staff are aware of the various components of safeguarding towards communities thus ensuring that communities are protected against any potential abuses.

Christian Aid will support partners to ensure that community members composed of men, women, youth, the elderly and people living with disability are aware about the expected behaviours for both Christian Aid and partner staffs.

As most of Christian Aid's partners will support affected communities through distributions of cash, food and non-foods items, Christian Aid DRC Senior Program Office and MEAL Assistant will provide support by conducting a Post Distribution Monitoring for purposes of learning and accountability together with CAID partners. Christian Aid program staff will work closely with partners to ensure that a strong and robust monitoring and evaluation system is set up and appropriate tools are in place for data collection.

For finance Monitoring, Christian Aid Finance officer, will support partners by conducting regular finance monitoring to ensure that partner budgets are managed in line with donor requirements. Christian Aid Security Advisor will support partners in security monitoring to ensure that the safety and security of both Christian Aid staffs, partners staffs and beneficiaries is maintained.

#### Uganda

<u>Right Based Approach:</u> the response seeks to apply a rights-based approach, combining fundamental rights with practical ways of addressing WASH, protection, shelter, education, and livelihood gaps in partnership with local populations and duty-bearers. To ensure the rights are understood and fulfilled, respective ACT members will sensitize/train individuals, community structures, and institutions on the available services and rights as refugees in Uganda and Tanzania and foster the applicability of these through relocation to settlements.

<u>Community Led Total Sanitation (CLTS)</u>; implementation of WASH activities will utilize the CLTS approach which is an innovative methodology for mobilizing communities to eliminate open defecation. Communities are facilitated to conduct their own appraisal and analysis of open defecation and take their own action to become open defecation free. At the heart of CLTS lies the recognition that merely providing toilets does not guarantee their use, nor result in improved sanitation and hygiene. CLTS focuses on the behavioral change needed to ensure real and



sustainable improvements – investing in community mobilization instead of hardware and shifting the focus from toilet construction for individual households to the creation of open defecation free villages, raising awareness that even if a minority of people continues to defecate in the open everyone is at risk of disease (Uganda and Tanzania).

<u>Education in Emergencies (EiE)</u>; Education in Emergencies provides physical, psychosocial, and cognitive protection that can sustain and save lives in situations of crisis. Uninterrupted quality education should be ensured at all education levels, including strong and meaningful community participation, provision of transitional learning spaces, provision of education material, teacher education and support to education authorities. FCAs EiE approach is based on an integrated approach to wellbeing, prioritizing integrated ways of working with psychosocial support and child protection.

<u>Community Based Protection (CBP) Approach;</u> To proactively protect vulnerable individuals, families, and communities, ACT members will support building protective community-based structures and networks. The CBP approach empowers persons of concern (POCs) to demand and enjoy their rights safely and with dignity. Therefore, this project will ensure active and meaningful participation of refugees in transit/collection points, reception centers and during the distribution of NFIs during their relocation from reception centers to settlements. The PoCs will take part in leadership and complaint management (Uganda and Tanzania).

<u>Child Protection and Safeguarding</u>; Conflict and displacement can cause serious impact on the physical, social, and emotional wellbeing of children. The well-being of children will be improved and will be well integrated into the education activities in order for children to feel less stressed and free from household obligations. Partners will work closely with other Protection partners to ensure functional referral systems and specialized support to children with physical and mental barriers to accessing education.

<u>Gender equitable approach:</u> project activities will address the diverse needs of women, men, boys, and girls of diverse backgrounds, ensuring diverse groups have access to relevant information and are able to engage meaningfully. Women's participation and leadership will be supported at all project levels, including ensuring gender balance in staffing. UNHCRs Age, Gender, and Diversity (ADG) policy will be an important guidance on gender sensitive programming and monitoring.

<u>Multi-sectoral and programmatic integration</u>; actions within communities are inherently linked. For example, environmental issues, gender justice, and protection interconnect; and, therefore, our responses are integrated to maximize the impact of our interventions. Relief, rehabilitation, development, and disaster preparedness efforts will not be a linear process as bridging the gap between emergency response and development is one of our top priorities. To maximize impact, this project will offer services of SGBV prevention/response, child protection, education, psychosocial support, WASH, shelter, and community-based protection in an integrated manner.

Participatory and Labor-Intensive approaches; Inclusion of all stakeholders at various levels in WASH while integrating the social and economic dimensions. Cash-Based Interventions will be adapted to stimulate communities to construct latrines, dispose wastes, among others. Community structures such as the WUC, hygiene and sanitation promoters, and Village Education Committees will be equipped and motivated to play their respective roles. Cash for Work approach will be considered for works in latrine construction, production of slabs, repair, and maintenance of the boreholes etc. Advocacy: ACT members will use the ACT platform for joint multi-sector advocacy towards the DRC refugee response. Individually, LWF will engage in advocacy from local to national levels to lobby for subsiding tariffs for water, as well as community action for operation and maintenance of water sources, whilst FCA will use its role as national co-lead of the EIE (Education in Emergencies) SWG to lobby for additional funds towards the Education Response Plan.



<u>Digital solutions</u>: program will adapt digital tools to track WASH, health, education, protection, and livelihoods interventions, conduct training and monitor project interventions. This will contribute to improvement of data management. Monitoring systems and databases will ensure correct targeting and limit double counting of beneficiaries.

#### **Tanzania**

TCRS will be the lead organisation supporting refugee influx representing all ACT Tanzania Forum members in this response. Within the camp, UNHCR has the overall coordination role and has allocated different sectors to various organizations depending on the expertise for example apart from TCRS doing WASH activities, Water Mission and Norwegian Refugee Council will also be implementing WASH activities in different geographic areas.

To ensure that project beneficiaries have been informed about the project and the assistance given to them TCRS will work through various camp coordination structures and camp zone leaders. A town hall meeting will initially be arranged followed by zone meetings where all actors supporting the response will be invited. This will be closely coordinated by the Ministry of Home Affairs (MoHA). To mainstream gender in this response, TCRS for example will ensure that latrines will be gender segregated, and responses will be specific to address gender needs of women for example supporting menstrual hygiene management for women and providing urinals for men.

#### **Implementation Arrangements**

#### DRC

Internally, the DRC forum convener is hosted by ECC based in Kinsasha, however, for this specific response, coordination will be set up in the city of Goma, capital of the North Kivu province.

Monthly Northeast Pool meetings will be organized between members who are part of the appeal in Goma, and this will be replicated when the appeal is funded, and implementation of activities has started. The forum convener is expected to be the spokesperson for the media representation for this ACT response. However, for individual responses, the country director/representative of each organization plays this role in coordination with the ACT RDC forum coordinator. External project activities will be communicated at the coordination's mechanisms (OCHA, clusters, thematic working groups and with local authorities).

At community level: workshops will be organized, and all different stakeholders composed of men, women, youth, elders, and people living with disabilities will be part of a launch workshop.

During this workshop project activities will be explained to community' members and local leaders, complaints and feedback policies will be discussed, and the process of conducting the community's accountability assessment will be communicated to beneficiaries. In addition, targeting criteria will be discussed and the communities composed of by men, women, youth, elders, and people living with disability will elect beneficiaries committee members composed by 50 % men and 50% of women.

#### Uganda

The requesting members will work with local Community leadership structures, District Local Government of Uganda, National NGO (Non-Governmental Organizations) and the Office of the Prime Minister (OPM). The Church of Uganda will bring on board the religious and faith interfaith communities.

The ACT Uganda forum shall use regular updates to ensure that information and awareness of the situation reaches various stakeholders for collective fundraising. Each ACT member will continue to



communicate and engage in advocacy platforms, with LWF as lead of the coordination and implementation of this appeal. Through its joint multi-sector expertise and response along all steps of displacement - from the border point through transit, holding centre and settlement, a strong foundation is laid to leverage additional resources.

**Coordination arrangements among ACT members**: the ACT Forum Uganda members have agreed to a shared responsibility in the implementation of the proposed response. The agreement is based on their sector expertise, physical presence, and the wider organizational capacity to respond to similar emergencies, and sector specific needs.

LWF, FCA and HEKS/EPER have offices in Nakivale, and will host colleagues from Church of Uganda, for smooth coordination, referrals, and joint implementation.

Coordination with other partners: in addition to the above agreed implementation arrangements among ACT members, all members will actively participate in the ongoing coordination and interagency meetings led by UNHCR, OPM and ministries at national and district levels. LWF is an active player in coordination of WASH, Protection, MHPSS and Reception center managements while co-leading the Accountability to Affected People (AAP) coordination at national level. Similarly, FCA is the national co-lead of the Education in Emergency (EiE) Sector Working Group jointly with UNHCR and Ministry of Education and Sports (MoES).

The partners will ensure close coordination with key stakeholders, namely, UNHCR, Office of the Prime Minister (OPM), District authorities, as well as Refugee Welfare Committees (RWCs), Village Education Committees (VECs), Village Health Teams (VHTs), Water User Committees, and other community-based groups such as women and youth groups, at settlement and/or zonal level. Monthly meetings at settlement level with all these key stakeholders facilitate transparency and accountability in the refugee operations and front for addressing emerging issues jointly and sustainably.

#### Tanzania

In Tanzania, this appeal will have Tanganyika Christian Refugee Services (TCRS) as a requesting member, as a national member of ACT Tanzania Forum. TCRS will be responsible for the overall coordination, management, monitoring, and reporting to ACT Tanzania Forum on WASH, Non-Food Items and Community Based Psychosocial Support (CBPS), activities implemented at Nyarugusu refugee camp while working closely with other partners also working at Nyarugusu Refugee Camp. TCRS will lead in any joint forum activities related to the response and update the forum on information regarding the progress of the appeal. TCRS will support regular coordination meetings, facilitate communication and collaboration, provide logistic operations support during joint monitoring visits among other responsibilities that will ensure the implementation is effective and well-coordinated. TCRS will work closely with UNHCR and government (MoHA) and other implementing partners such as Danish Refugee Council, Water Mission, Norwegian Refugee Council in providing collective strategies to improve efficiencies during the implementation of this response.



#### Project Revised Consolidated Budget - Original budget may be found in Annex 4

actaliance										
Requesting Forum/Country	DRC, UGANDA, TANZA	NIA								
Appeal Number:	CEA241-Revision 1									
Appeal Title:	Life Saving Emergency		Conflict							
mplementing Period:	11 Mar 2024 - 10 Mar	2026								
	Appeal Total	DRC - BOAD	DRC - ECC	DRC - EELCO	DRC - CAID	Uganda - LWF	Uganda - HEKS EPER	Uganda - Church of Uganda	Uganda - FCA	Tanzania - TCR
Direct Costs	4,810,994	370,721	629,390	538,370	183,527	1,124,050	496,755	274,442	440,550	753,188
1 Project Staff	758,762	50,400	42,600	73,800	107,027	212,759	43,793	58,329	88,460	81,59
1.1 Appeal Lead	24,635	-	-	-	-	24,635	-	-	-	-
1.3 National Staff	734,127	50,400	42,600	73,800	107,027	188,124	43,793	58,329	88,460	81,593
2 Project Activities	3,560,504	273,795	543,400	398,370	14,500	848,100	411,277	161,807	331,529	577,726
2.1 Health	13,765	-	-	-	-	-	13,765		-	-
2.2 Community Engagement	41,511	-	-	-	14,500	-	-	27,011	-	-
2.4 WASH	881,608	19,900	34,600	-	-	293,006	-		-	534,103
2.5 Livelihood	448.717	22,205	-	29,000	-	-	397,512		-	-
2.6 Education	369,849	-	-	38.320	-	-	-	<u> </u>	331.529	-
2.7 Shelter and Household items	662,422	75,000	164,000	77,500	-	345,922	-		-	-
2.8 Food Security	688,800	112,000	344,800	232,000		_	-	<b></b>	-	· -
2.9 MHPSS and Community Psycho-social	119,027	_	-	_	-	75,404	_	<b></b>	-	43,623
2.10 Gender	334,805	44.690		21,550		133,769	_	134.797	_	10,02,
3 Project Implementation	88,592	7,840	10,700	6,300	-	5,860	12,415	13,878	7,402	24,199
.1. Forum Coordination	68,053	3,400	4,500	2,500	-	5,346	10,743	12,336	7,402	21,828
i.2. Capacity Development	20,539	4,440	6,200	3,800		514	1,672	1,542	7,402	2,37
4 Quality and Accountability	157,797	15,396	10,590	12,500	41,500	11,380	17,219	19,583	5,906	23,722
5 Logistics	225,826	22.840	21,000	47,400	18,000	42,868	6,038	16,448	7,253	43,980
6 Assets and Equipment	19,513	450	1,100		2,500	3,084	6,014	4,396	- 1,200	1,969
ndirect Costs	442.312	12.650	34.300	17.760	57.045	169.697	17.322	26,433	71.395	35.710
		12,000		<u> </u>		ģ		<u> </u>		·
Staff Salaries	296,496		25,800	6,000	19,706	156.128	10,588	16,924	41,045	
Office Operations	145,815	12,650	8,500		37,338	13,570	6,733	9,509	30,349	
Total Expenditure	5,253,305	383,371	663,690	556,130	240,572	1,293,747	514,077	300,875	511,945	788,899
External Evaluation	50,000									
ACT Secretariat management cost (SMC) - 2%	105,066	7,667	13,274	11,123	4,811	25,875	10,282	6,018	10,239	15,778
Total Expenditure + SMC	5,408,372	391,038	676,964	567,253	245.383	1.319.622	524.358	306,893	522.183	804,677
Income received	1,264,249	165,361	98,373	115,032	28,868	397,821	148,518	82,018	83,088	145,170
Balance requested (minus income received)- REVISION 1	4,144,123	225,677	578,591	452,221	216,515	921,801	375,841	224,875	439,096	659,506
	Origin	al Budget								
Total Budget	5.964.	466								
Income received	1,264,	249								
Income Covered	21%									
The appeal is underfunded on as been reduced from USD					-	•			-	

#### Project Monitoring, Evaluation and Learning

#### DRC

Christian Aid program (MEAL assistant and the Senior Program officer) will support partners (ECC, BOAD and EELCO) by setting up a MEAL system and set up tools for data collection and project monitoring.

Christian Aid, Senior Program officer will lead on project reporting and ensure that the quality of reporting remains high and deadline for reporting are respected in collaboration with the MEAL officer.

Monthly call meetings will be held at country level (for DRC Act Alliance members) to monitor the project progress and to share the challenges.

Christian Aid DRC Senior Program Officer will conduct two fields visit per year to support partners in project implementation activities, coordination, and reporting.



<u>Christian Aid MEAL Officer</u>: will conduct two fields visit to support the Monitoring and evaluation activities and support the Post distribution monitoring.

The Meal Officer will be responsible for monitoring and evaluating project activities, analyzing data, learning, and capitalizing on best practices/knowledge, and ensuring continuous improvement in the implementation of project activities.

S/He will oversee building partners' capacities in terms of activity monitoring, by setting up data collection tools and sharing best practices.

S/He will guarantee the accountability and quality of activities by ensuring project performance, accountability to stakeholders and the management of feedback and complaints from program participants.

S/He will guarantee communication and collaboration between partners, while participating in discussions and decision-making to ensure that approaches to implementing activities are properly oriented.

<u>Christian Aid Safeguarding Officer:</u> will train partners on safeguarding aspects and will conduct regular remote monitoring to support safeguarding focal points. S/He will ensure that all partner staff and other stakeholders have signed the code of conduct.

With support from Christian Aid Global Accountability Advisor, the Safeguarding Officer and partners safeguarding focal point will ensure that all feedback and complaints received are managed and closed by providing feedback to community's members.

<u>Christian Aid Finance officer:</u> will visit partners office twice a year to work closely with finance team on grant management; ensure budget consumption lines remain agreed with the donor and ensure procurement processes have strong evidence to support expenditures.

<u>Christian Aid Security Advisor:</u> will support partners with the ongoing monitoring of security contexts and will train them on improvement of security policies.

#### Uganda

Post distribution monitoring (PDM): Post-Distribution Monitoring (PDM) will be a process-focused survey that will complement other beneficiary monitoring tools and will focus on short term results. The PDM will evaluate the effectiveness of delivered information, the distribution process, the beneficiary's level of comfort, redeeming assistance and potential risks and/or challenges with accessing the assistance. The PDM tool will collect information on basic demographics, beneficiaries' understanding of the selection process, the accessibility and safety of distribution sites and cash redemption points, the cash redemption process, decision making and spending of the assistance and beneficiaries' knowledge of the complaints and feedback mechanism and overall safety when accessing assistance. The information from this survey will be used to assess and improve the procedures of cash distribution and form the report to the program's implementers and stakeholders. It also allows the program to identify and prevent any potential protection risks. The PDM will be conducted after one to three weeks after the first cash payment. This timeframe is intended to be large enough that beneficiaries will have experienced redeeming and spending the assistance, but not too long that they would have trouble recalling the distribution session or redemption process.

#### **Tanzania**

The Tanzania ACT Forum Coordinator and other members of ACT Tanzania Forum will conduct regular monitoring visits during time of the implementation of the project activities. Monthly progress including monitoring reports to be sent to headquarter from the field. Situation and final reports will be sent to ACT Tanzania Forum Coordinator for review and will share the final reports with the ACT Secretariat office in Nairobi.



The monitoring processes are undertaken regularly with monthly and quarterly field monitoring. While the evaluation programmes are undertaken annually. Complaints will be handled by the committee of which will investigate and give timely feedback. Community meetings and feedback forms will be used to facilitate continuous two-way communication. Regular reviews, surveys, and periodic evaluations will be conducted to assess the effectiveness of the feedback mechanisms, ensuring that appropriate and timely responses are delivered to address concerns and improve project outcomes.

#### Safety and Security plans

#### **DRC**

North Kivu, is in eastern DRC, comprising the health zones of Masisi, Mweso and Kirotshe. The health zones of Goma, Karisimbi and Nyiragongo constitute reception zones for the displaced in North Kivu. The health zones of Minova and Kalehe in South Kivu will also be covered, and these are the reception areas which are part of the city of Goma are still safe despite the few bombs that fell on the Mugunga district to the west of the city of Goma.

A comprehensive security system is therefore necessary to ensure the safety of Forum RDC members' staff, particularly in the field. Christian Aid Security advisor will lead on this, and he will conduct a security assessment at the beginning of project activities in collaboration with the partners management teams. Christian Aid Security Advisor will continue supporting partners to develop/or adapt they securities policies in line with the recommendations raised in the due diligence process.

Christian Aid Security Advisor and Implementing members of the Act alliance forum will continue to use the government security apparatus, United Nations Department of Safety and Security (UNDSS), International NGO Safety Organization (INSO) and communities for security updates and guidance.

ACT members will also use their own internal mechanisms to conduct regular security assessments. In addition to these measures, staff will be trained in the identification and prevention of risks through safety training and additional security measures, including guards in offices, first aid kits and compliance with standard local operating procedures.

Furthermore, coordination links will be established between EELCO, ECC and BOAD, CA and state agencies involved in project implementation to assess gaps in the security sector monthly. Partner staff members (ECC, EELCO, BOAD) must implement the project in a transparent manner, both to increase their acceptance by the beneficiary community and also allow them to be in contact with sources on the field which alert them of any security risks that may be posed by clashes or threats by a military group operating in the area.

A security manager will be appointed whose main task will be to advise and disseminate, via mobile phone, security information to our personnel operating in the area. A security WhatsApp group of all staff will be created for this purpose. Before launching Appeal activities, an emergency plan for each site where personnel are present with concrete recommendations.

#### <u>Uganda</u>

There are no significant safety and security threat in Uganda. The Government of Uganda is providing security to refugees and humanitarian actors in all refugees receiving and hosting districts. Besides, there is a safety and security coordination forum to ensure early identification of threats and risks. All the ACT Forum Uganda members are part of the national safety and security working group. The meeting takes place monthly and provides an avenue to discuss safety and security issues that matter for the humanitarian actors across the country. Both LWF and FCA have



regional safety and security advisors who ensure standard safety and security measures are in place and implemented. All members have a safety and security focal person in all its operations including their Kampala Office for timely identification of safety as well security risks and engage individual and management to take appropriate and timely measures. The members also have safety and security plans including evacuation plans.

#### **Tanzania**

There is no significant security risk in Tanzania and therefore Kasulu, Kigoma region where implementation of this project will be taken.

The geographical factors such as remote or insecure locations could otherwise pose travel and access challenges but of recent days, this has been handled, and the government of Tanzania has deployed security people (police contingents) to provide security to refugees and humanitarian actors in all refugees receiving and hosting districts.

Nevertheless, there are monthly Interagency and Intersectoral meetings which provide avenues for sharing several matters happening in the region concerning the operations and this can also give room for discussions of security issues for humanitarian actors across the region. All members have a safety and security focal person in all its operations including their Kampala Office for timely identification of safety as well security risks and engage individual and management to take appropriate and timely measures. The members also have safety and security plans including evacuation plans.

#### PROJECT ACCOUNTABILITY

Does the proposed response honour ACT's commitment to safeguarding including PSEA?
All staff and volunteers of requesting members, particularly those involved with the
response, will be required to sign the requesting members' Code of Conduct. If you do not
have one, members can use ACT's Code of Conduct.

X Yes ☐ No

As ACT Alliance secretariat is CHS certified, ACT appeals will be implemented with adherence to CHS commitments.

#### Code of Conduct

Christian Aid DRC had supported the partners ECC and BOAD staff to have they own code of conduct policy and guidance, and this is uploaded as the minimum requirement for this partnership.

During the implementation of this project Christian Aid DRC will ensure again that all staff (Christian Aid staffs and partners staffs) are aware again of the code of conduct and have signed it.

During the project launching workshop community members composed of men, women, youth, elders, and people living with disabilities are aware about the code of conduct and the expected behaviours from both Christian Aid and partner's staff.

Posters related to the expected behaviours and code of conducted will be printed and displayed within the communities where Christian Aid are working with our partners ECC, BOAD and EELCO.

Partner EELCO will be supported by Christian Aid DRC, to ensure that they have their own code of conduct and in the meantime as a default use the Christian Aid code of conduct.



Each implementing member of ACT Forum Uganda has a Code of Conduct policy where every staff member and volunteers read, understand and sign to abide by the policy. At the hiring process, reference checks will be a mandatory practice to ensure entry of the right person to the humanitarian taskforce. In addition, all members abide by the ACT Alliance Code of Conduct Policy that guides what should be done and what should not be done. In case of violation of the code of conduct, proportional and appropriate measures will be taken as per the code of conduct policy and Labor Act of Uganda Government. The members will inform their beneficiaries and communities on the existing code of conduct policy. Every member will provide training on Code of Conduct to their respective staff members, community volunteers and community leadership structures.

TCRS has a code of conduct in place and all staff are required to sign the CoC and adhere to (it is compulsory). The refugee communities will be introduced to the existing complains mechanism channels including suggestion boxes which will be made available all over the camp buildings and mobile numbers will be provided for report complains.

TCRS will inform their beneficiaries and communities on the existing code of conduct policy. TCRS will also provide training on Code of Conduct to their respective staff members, community volunteers and community leadership structures. All temporary employees involved in the work including volunteers, interns, consultants, and all individuals working on behalf of the organization will sign and be inducted on the code of conduct.

#### Safeguarding

DRC will train partners regarding Safeguarding and Prevention of Sexual Exploitation and Abuse (PSEA) and will ensure that both Christian Aid and partner staff have signed the Safeguarding policies.

Christian Aid DRC will support partners to nominate the Safeguarding focal points within their organisations, and the focal points will be trained on how to report safeguarding issues. As per the code of conduct, community members composed of men, women, youth, elders, and people living with disabilities are aware about the safeguarding policies and how to report them. Posters related to the expected behaviour and information on safeguarding will be printed and displayed within communities where Christian Aid and partners (ECC, BOAD and EELCO) are working.

Prevention of Sexual Exploitation and Abuse reported incidences will be recorded. Designated staff will ensure these are addressed and sensitive incidents managed. Key action activities will help ensure that environmental impacts are not aggravated. The members also have organization commitments to limit environmental impact and other similar social and environmental safeguarding procedures. Existing gender inequalities and unequal power relationships will not be perpetuated through the project actions. Therefore, women, youth and marginalized groups will be prioritized for engagement and capacity building interventions to ensure that they actively participate and benefit from the project actions. In addition, planned field monitoring visits will aid in identifying emerging gender related issues affecting men, women, and youth engagement that need to be addressed.

Conflict sen	sitivity /	do no l	harm.
--------------	------------	---------	-------

DRC



To do no harm to beneficiaries, stakeholder meetings will be held where communities will know their rights and be aware not to tolerate any physical harm, acts of violence or violation of their rights by any of the project team members. The targeting of beneficiaries will be an open and transparent process that will consider gender and ethnic group factors to avoid conflict and high ethnic tensions among beneficiaries.

In addition, beneficiaries will be organized into committees/groups/associations with age and gender representation for effective relaying of key project information. Project activities will be conducted in ways that do not cause environmental degradation.

Project activities will target both internally displaced people and vulnerable host communities for avoiding potential harm. In particular, WASH activities will target both IDPs and host communities and both Internals displaced people and host communities will be trained on water management and will be part of the water management committee.

#### Uganda

The response will employ various conflict sensitive and Do No Harm approaches during all stages of the project cycle.

To reduce conflict between host and refugee committees, a 30/70 % host to refugee assistance will be employed.

Partners' needs assessments will include a gender analysis and gender equality in such a way that men, women, girls,' and boys' benefit from the assistance.

Activities will be clearly defined to ensure a common understanding by all stakeholders in a measure to minimize conflict.

Peace education in schools will be promoted by FCA to enhance co-existence among children from different refugee groups and between refugees and host community members. A culture of peace in the classroom will be done through different methodologies (role-playing games, discussions, interviews, pedagogical visits).

Effective and efficient emergency response will be designed and implemented in a way that supports shifting towards early recovery and then, longer-term development. Different sector activities will build on cross-cutting frameworks such as the Humanitarian-Development-Peace Nexus, Durable Solutions Programming and promotion of Social Cohesion/Stability and the Uganda

#### Tanzania

ACT Tanzania member TCRS in this appeal will incorporate conflict-sensitive analysis and community engagement to be aware of any potential tension or conflict and uphold the "Do No Harm" principle.

The project will be presented to the coordination mechanism at the local level and/or County Steering Groups to ensure that distribution of assistance is given to the most affected and vulnerable communities and individuals without double targeting. A Community led approach will be used to identifying priority beneficiaries through comprehensive early assessments and community participation. Staff training will emphasize conflict-aware decision-making and emphasize neutrality and impartiality to prevent exacerbating existing tensions. Continuous monitoring, transparent communication, and community feedback mechanisms facilitate adaptive management, ensuring the project remains responsive to evolving dynamics. By fostering collaboration with local conflict resolution mechanisms and promoting peaceful coexistence initiatives, the project actively seeks to minimize unintended harm while contributing positively to community well-being.

#### Complaints mechanism and feedback

In **DRC**, the team in DRC will ensure that beneficiaries and other stakeholders are aware of the channels for lodging or handling complaints using Compass. Compass is a digital platform used to



collect and manage complaints and feedback received in an effective, accessible, and safe way and can be used to manage feedback from staff, beneficiaries, local partners, and stakeholders.

Before launching the appeal, a workshop will be held for ACT requesting staff to ensure that these principles are well understood and applied throughout the project. A zero-tolerance policy will be applied, and staff will be informed of the risks they would take in the event of a breach of the code of conduct leading to termination of contract. For possible serious violations, legal action will be taken.

BOAD, ECC and EELCo will have one complaint mechanism that will collect and manage feedback. Christian Aid will train the three partners how to use Compass tool to collect feedback from the community members. Christian Aid will support national members to use Compass (a digital system) to conduct community accountability assessments and set up an effective complaint and feedback mechanism using tablets. By using Compass digital platform, a complaints mechanism will be set in place to ensure that those affected are aware of the channels for lodging or handling complaints so that if a concern is raised by staff or a community member, they know what to do.

Christian Aid Safeguarding Officer, Senior Program Officer and Global Accountability Advisor will provide tablets and train the three national members (BOAD, ECC and EELCO staff) how to establish Compass as their feedback mechanism within their programs.

Following the internal procedure of each organization, the received complains will be investigated and processed and aall complaints will be handled by a designated complaints response focal point within each organization.

Monthly monitoring of complaints and feedback will be held to ensure feedback is provided to the complaints and lessons are learnt. Sensitive complaints will only be accessible to specific management staff to ensure confidentiality. Complaints received will be thoroughly and promptly investigated and responded to within 48 hours.

In **Uganda**, each ACT Uganda Forum members will employ its own response mechanism which they have worked with for over 5 years. Members will ensure that the community they serve including project staff members clearly understand their rights and obligations.

Information will be shared two ways and concerned project staff and community members will have various platforms to raise their grievance or concern. The management of each member will review complaints and take proportional action following the complaint response mechanism procedure as stipulated in the Human Resource policy.

During project inception meetings, beneficiaries in all target locations will be informed how they may complain through the focal person and how to use the complaint and feedback mechanisms in place. Complaint and feedback mechanisms utilized will include suggestion boxes, a community level complaint committee, and a hotline number. In addition, communities within refugee settlements will be introduced and encouraged to use the hot lines provided by UNHCR. This directs to the inter-agency Feedback, Referral and Resolution Mechanism (FRRM) which provides a safe, accessible, and reliable communication channel to refugees.

Furthermore, protection staff working for beneficiaries will work closely with local authorities and service providers to resolve cases at community level and facilitate referral procedures.

In **Tanzania** during project start up workshops, TCRS will communicate to target groups and stakeholders the various ways project beneficiaries may address their concerns and voice their opinions regarding the project activities and staff behavior. TCRS will follow their Community Complaints and Feedback and Whistle blowing policy and expect staffs, Volunteers and Contractors to comply with these policies. Suggestion boxes, help desk and shared telephone



numbers will be used to collect feedback. In addition, project committees who will identify and verify target beneficiaries will also be a channel to receive feedback from project beneficiaries.

#### **Communication and visibility**

Members will follow ACT communication policy and brand their work, IEC material, videos, photos, websites, and social media posts with ACT Alliance logo.

During community meetings, ACT Alliance branding will be employed for example during community project launching workshops and during coordination meetings (UNOCHA, Clusters) using Banners, posters, and branded T-shirts.



# **Annexes**

# Annex 1 – Summary Table (new locations in red)

		D	RC-BO	AD			DRC-EC	CC		D	RC-EEL	СО	
Start Date		18	March 2	024		18	March 2	2024		18 March 2024			
End Date		18	March 2	026		18	March 2	2026		18 March 2026			
Project Period (in months)		<u> </u>	24			24				<u> </u>	24		
Response Locations	Rut	Pr tshuru, Nyiragong	s, Mugunga, North Kivu DRC ries, villages of Burayi, a, Kibumba, North Kivu		Minova, Nyabibwe, Kalehe South Kivu Province, RDC Kasheke, Rambura, Kalehe, South Kivu Province, DRC.				City of Goma, Lac Vert districts, Mugunga, North Kivu Province, Minova, Nyabibwe, Kalehe South Kivu Province. Sake, Kirotshe, Shasha, North Kivu, Nyabibwe, Kalehe, South Kivu Province, DRC				
Sectors of													
response		Public Health	х	Shelter and household items		Public Health	X	Shelter and household items		Public Health		Shelter and household items	
		Community Engagement	х	Food Security		Community Engagement	Х	Food Security		Community Engagement	Х	Food Security	
		Preparedness and Prevention	Х	MHPSS and Community Psycho-social		Preparedness and Prevention		MHPSS and Community Psycho-social		Preparedness and Prevention	X	MHPSS and Community Psycho-social	
	х	WASH		Gender	Х	WASH		Gender		WASH	Х	Gender	
	x	Livelihood		Engagement with Faith and Religious leaders and institutions		Livelihood		Engagement with Faith and Religious leaders and institutions		Livelihood		Engagement with Faith and Religious leaders and institutions	
		Education		Advocacy		Education		Advocacy	X	Education		Nutrition	
Targeted Recipients (per sector)	WASH-6,000 Livelihood-1.800 Shelter-6,000					Shelter- 7,200 Wash- 4,200 Food Security- 7,200				Shelter- 13,800 Food Security-13,800 MHPSS-150			



	Food	Security-6,000								Educ	hood-130 ation-200 tion-45		
Requested budget (USD)	USD	391,038		ı	USD 676,964				USE	567,253			
		Ch	ristian	Aid				LWF			Н	EKS/EP	ER
Start Date		15	March 2	024		18 March 2024					18	March 2	024
End Date		15	March 2	:026			18	March 2	026		18	March 2	026
Project Period (in months)	24							24				24	
Response Locations	North and South Kivu Province								chinga, Nakivale, and Refugee Settlements		hinga, Nakivale ar ements	id Kyang	wali Refugee
Sectors of response		Public Health		Shelter and					·	<b>,</b>			
response		rubiic Health		household items			Public Health	Х	Shelter and household items		Public Health		Shelter and household items
		Community Engagement		Food Security	]		Community Engagement		Food Security		Community Engagement	Х	Food Security
		Preparedness and Prevention		MHPSS and Community Psycho-social	[		Preparedness and Prevention	Х	MHPSS and Community Psycho-social		Preparedness and Prevention		MHPSS and Community Psycho-social
		WASH		Gender		X	WASH	Х	Gender		WASH		Gender
		Livelihood		Engagement with Faith and Religious leaders and institutions	7	X	Livelihood		Engagement with Faith and Religious leaders and institutions	X	Livelihood		Engagement with Faith and Religious leaders and institutions
		Education		Advocacy	[		Education		Advocacy		Education		Advocacy
Targeted Recipients (per sector)	Engagement with Local Partners- BOAD, ECC, EELCO				SI N	WASH- 2,000 Shelter- 4,000 MHPSS- 600 Gender- 1,000				Livelihood: 900 individuals (refugees) Multipurpose cash transfer: 2,325 iindividuals (refugees) Cash for Work: 5,000 individuals (refugees) Cash for Energy; 260 Households (refugees) Reforestation: 120 Hectares (refugees and host community)			



			Engagement and coordination with Office or Personnel Management (OPM), Refugee Led Organizations (RLOS)s, and local partners
Requested budget (USD)	USD 245,383	USD 1,319,622	USD 524,358

		FCA-Uganda CoU -Uganda						nda		TC	RS -Uga	nda	
Start Date		18	March 2	024		18	March 2	1024		18	March 2	024	
End Date		18	March 2	026		18	March 2	.026		18	March 2	026	
Project Period (in months)			24			24					24		
Response Locations	Kisoro Reception Center; and Nakivale, Rwamwanja, Oruchinga, and Kyangwali					Oruchinga, Nakivale and Kyangwali Refugee Settlements				Nyarugusu, Kigoma			
Sectors of response		Public Health		Shelter and household items		Public Health		Shelter and household items		Public Health		Shelter and household items	
		Community Engagement		Food Security	x	Community Engagement		Food Security		Community Engagement		Food Security	
		Preparednes s and Prevention		MHPSS and Community Psycho-social		Preparednes s and Prevention		MHPSS and Community Psycho-social		Preparednes s and Prevention		MHPSS and Community Psycho-social	
		WASH		Gender		WASH	х	Gender		WASH		Gender	
		Livelihood		Engagement with Faith and Religious leaders and institutions		Livelihood	Х	Engagement with Faith and Religious leaders and institutions		Livelihood		Engagement with Faith and Religious leaders and institutions	
	Х	Education		Advocacy		Education		Advocacy		Education		Advocacy	
Targeted Recipients	Education- 3,000					Community Engagement-2,000 Gender- 500				WASH-6,000 + MHPSS- 1,000			

# actalliance

(per sector)			
Requested	USD 524,358	USD 306,893	USD 804,677
budget (USD)			



# **Annex 2 – Security Risk Assessment**

## **Principal Threats:**

Threat 1: Insecurity, Gender based violence, rape in the project locations in DRC

Threat 2: Security/Vandalism to infrastructure in Tanzania

Threat 3: Natural disasters (landslides, floods in Uganda).

Threat 4: Interference of local leaders in Uganda.

Impact	Negligible	Minor	Moderate	Severe	Critical
Probability					
Very likely	Low	Medium	High	Very high Insecurity in the project locations.	Very high
Likely	Low	Medium	High Natural disaster (landslides and floodings)	High Interference by local leaders.	Very high
Moderately likely	Very low	Low	Medium Security and Vandalism to infrastructure	High	High
Unlikely	Very low	Low	Low	Medium	Medium
Very unlikely	Very low	Very low	Very low	Low	Low

# **Annex** 3 – Rapid Needs Assessment (additional documents)

## Uganda

ACT Uganda Security

Risk Assessment - Report - I

#### Tanzania





2025.pdf

Assessment 2025.pdf



# DRC









Annex 1 – Original Budget

	Appeal Total	DRC-BOAD	DRC - ECC	DRC - EELCO	DRC - CAID	Uganda - LVAF	Uganda - HEKS EPER	Uganda - Church of Uganda	Uganda - FCA	Tanzania - TCRS
Direct Costs	5,259,336	445,001	695,990	579,400	359,855	1,125,978	496,755	276,241	440,550	839,566
1 Project Staff	1,067,188	100,200	85,200	147,600	214,055	2 12,759	43,793	47,652	88,460	126,869
1.1 Appeal Lead	24,635	- }	-	-	-	24,635	-	-	-	-
1.2 litenational Staff	-		-	-				-		<u>-</u>
1.3 National Staff	1,042,553	100,800	85,200	147,600	214,055	188,124	43,793	47,652	88,460	126,869
2 Project Activitie :	3,559,849	273,795	543,400	321,200	34,000	850,028	411,277	182,213	331,529	6 12,40 8
2.1 Health	13,765	- }	-	-	-	-	13,765		-	-
2.2 Community Engagement	104,033	-	-	-	34,000	-	-	70,033	-	-
2.3 Preparedness and Preuention				-						
2.4 WASH	918,218	19,900	34,600	-	-	294,933	-		-	568,785
2.5 Livelihood	419,717.	22,205	<del>.</del>			<del>.</del>	397,512			
2.6 Editoritor	336 Д29	- }	-	4,500	-	-	-		331,529	-
2.7 Shelter and Household Items	646,422	75,000	164,000	61,500	-	345,922	-		-	-
2.8 Food Security	690,800	112,000	344,800	234,000					<del>.</del>	
2.9 MHPSS and Community Psycho-social	124,227	-	-	5,200	-	75,404	-		-	43,623
2.10 Gender	306,639	44,690		16,000		133,769		112,181		
2.11 Engagementwith Falth Leaders	- }			-	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>			<del>.</del>	
2.12 Aduocacy	-		-	-	-	-	-		-	-
3 Project im plem entation	87,074	5,220	13,700	8,600	<del>.</del>	5,260	12,415	6,605	7,402	22,614
3.1. Forum Coordination	63,652	3,400	6,000	4,000	-	5,346	10,743	4,934	7,402	21,828
3.2. Capacity Deuelopment	23,423	6,480	7,700	4,600		514	1,672	1,671		786
4 Guality and Accountability	188,674	15,396	10,590	12,500	75,500	11,380	17,219	18,504	5,506	21,675
5 Logistes	329,312	44,180	42,000	84,000	30,000	42,868	6,038	17,476	7,253	55,498
6 Attetrand Equipment	27,238	950	1,100	5,500	6,300	3,084	6,014	3,791		49.9
Indirect Costs	539,160	25,050	62,600	35,520	91,169	167,770	17,322	24,634	71,395	43,701
Staff Salaries	344,136	-	45,600	12,000	39,412	154,200	10,588	16,924	<b>41,</b> 0 <b>4</b> 5	24,366
Office Operations	195 Д24	25 (150	17,000	23,520	51,756	13,570	6,733	7,710	30,349	19,336
Total Expenditure	5,798,496	470,051	758,590	614,920	451,023	1,293,747	514,077	300,875	511,945	883,267
External Evaluation	50,000									
ACT Secretaristmanagement cost (SMC) - 2%	115,970	9,401	15,172	12,298	9,020	25,875	10,282	6,018	10,239	17,665
Total Expenditure + SMC	5,964,466	479,452	773,762	627,218	460,044	1,319,622	524,358	306,893	522,183	900,933